Physical Education, Health and Social Science

https://journal-of-social-education.org

E-ISSN: 2958-5996
P-ISSN: 2958-5988

Nurse-to-Patient Ratios and Patient Safety Outcomes: A Systematic Review

Farah Saeed[1], Saba Saeed[2], Warda Raza[3]

[1,2,3] Charge Nurse at DHQ Hospital Lodhran, Email: farahsaeedmithi@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.63163/jpehss.v3i3.650

Abstract

This systematic review investigation focuses on the association between nurse-to-patient ratios (NPRs) and patient safety-related outcomes, and the occurrence of high NPRs on factors affecting nurse well-being and nurse workforce sustainability. Although there is a vast body of evidence that supports the association between safe staffing levels and better patient outcomes and lower nurse burnout, many healthcare systems in the world are yet to realize perfect ratios. The theoretical models like Donabedian Structure-Process-Outcome (SPO) Model, Burnout Theory, and the Systems Theory will be used to examine the implications of NPRs during the review. It emphasizes the results of different case studies in different countries such as California, Queensland, and UK and proves that the results of legislated staffing ratios are better than results of non-compulsory monitoring strategies. In the paper we will also consider the problems that arise due to nurse shortages, economic pressures on nurses and the exodus of low- and middle-income country (LMIC) nurses to high-income countries. The recommendations shall be legislature, staff investment, and international collaboration to help curb these phenomena to have sustainable health care systems.

Keywords: Nurse, Ratios, Safety, Sustainability, Burnout, Healthcare Policy, Retention.

Introduction

The persistent problem experienced by healthcare systems across the globe is the ability to maintain excellent standards of patient care and at the same time having restricted financial and human resources. Among the structural factors of healthcare quality, nurse to patient ratios (NPRs), or the number of patients given to a nurse during the shift, are of specific importance. In contrast to other indicators like bed capacity or technological investment, NPRs directly affect patient outcomes due to the quality surveillance, administration of medication, prevention of infections, and advocacy of the clients being provided by nurses directly (Aiken et al., 2014; Needleman et al., 2011). International significance has been attached towards the place of NPRs with the introduction of the first state-wide mandatory law on staffing in 2004 in California. Since that time, numerous studies have confirmed that safe ratios are indeed associated with any reduction in mortality, hospital-acquired infections, medication errors, nurse burnout, and any increase in job satisfaction and retention (Shekelle, 2013; Griffiths et al., 2021). Nevertheless, mass adoption has not been possible because of global nursing shortages, financial pressure, and Policies that lack integrity. The discussion shifted away to workers management into a system

safety problem. It has recently been determined that medical errors, which are frequently attributed to improper staffing, contribute to death as one of the leading causative factors in the high-income economies (Makary & Daniel, 2016). Meanwhile, the LMICs continually experience staffing shortages, and the nurse-to-population ratio is as low as 1: 1,000 in certain parts of Sub-Saharan Africa (WHO, 2023). Such disbalance in the world highlights the need of policy action. The healthcare staffing systems were more vulnerable to being revealed by COVID-19 pandemic. Hospitals across the world were running at unsafe ratios as patient acuity peaked resulting in avoidable death and widespread burnout and rapidly increasing workforce attrition (Berlin et al., 2022). These have also shown that staffing is not only an issue of labor and management but an issue of both national health security and system resilience. Countries have been responding in several ways through their policy strategies. In California and the Australian states that introduced fixed ratios, outcomes improved, and in the United Kingdom, where the legislation was to monitor outcomes without having mandates, the results are mixed (Twigg et al., 2021). Such difference signifies a global debate that has not yet been resolved on whether staffing problem is to be declared by law, management on flexibility of dealings with staff, or performance check. In this study, the positions of NPRs as one of the key policies and safety concerns of contemporary healthcare. With the help of theoretical models, a systematized review of evidence conducted worldwide, and the comparisons of policy approaches in various contexts, aims at explaining how NPRs could be safe at all and sustainable. In the chapter the stage would be set to investigate not only the clinical and workforce consequences of NPRs but also the economic trade off and implications on the global health governance.

Theoretical Framework

The necessity to know more about nurse-to-patient ratios (NPRs) than just empirical evidence is based on the need to ground their understanding in conceptual models explaining the impact of staffing on patient outcomes, workforce interactions and the overall performance of the system. NPRs can be viewed through three frames: Structure-Process-Outcome (SPO) Model of Donabedian, Burnout Theory, and Systems Theory.

Donabedian's SPO Model

Donabedian (1988) provided a notion that the concept of quality in healthcare may be assessed in terms of correlation among structure, process and outcome. NPRs in this framework are another structural variable: the workload of nurses in terms of the number of patients per nurse. Such a structure has an impact on the processes in monitoring, infection prevention, and medication safety depending on which the tasks in mortality, complications, and patient satisfaction are predicated. Ineffective NPRs undermine procedures and result in poor outcomes per se. This model substantiates the causal process whereby staffing reforms can be converted into better safety.

Burnout Theory

The Burnout Theory focuses on the psychological effects caused by a constant unbalanced workload. Because of high NPRs, time pressure decreases the chances of recovery, encourages emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and losses in professional fulfillment (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). It has been proved that nurse burnout increases tremendously when more than six cases of patients per shift are assigned (Shin et al., 2018). This theory emphasizes the recurring aspect of unsafe NPRs: the latter backs up to attribute the high workloads, attracting attrition, which, subsequently, aggravates shortages hence, ratios reinforcing a vicious cycle.

Systems Theory

Staffing as a component of healthcare is a complex adaptive system that interchanges with other components--technology, leadership, demographics, and policy (Senge, 1990). NPRs cannot be evaluated without any related information. As an example, the EHR or AI staffing may relieve the documentation load, and aging populations will drive up the baseline patient acuity, which becomes more demanding on nurses. Systems Theory reminds us that NPRs represent not merely numbers but a set point in a mutually dependent network in which even changes in personnel can cause ripples inside results, expenditures, and resilience.

Integrative Value of Frameworks

Collectively, the theories conceptualize NPRs as determinants and indicators of the quality of healthcare. Donabedian describes the relation to the results, the Burnout Theory draws the weaknesses of the workforce, and Systems Theory helps to put NPRs in the health ecosystem context. This common lens augments the premise that NPRs are not solely administrative ratios but important drivers to patient safety, workforce sustainability, and system resilience.

Problem Statement

Despite extensive evidence that safe nurse-to-patient ratios (NPRs) save lives and improve nurse well-being, implementation remains inconsistent across global health systems. Two interlinked challenges dominate the problem.

Patient Safety Risks: Each additional patient per nurse raises mortality and adverse events, yet many hospitals continue to operate at unsafe ratios due to workforce and financial pressures (Aiken et al., 2014).

Workforce Sustainability: High NPRs drive burnout, dissatisfaction, and turnover, creating a vicious cycle of shortages and unsafe staffing that undermines healthcare resilience (Shin et al., 2018; WHO, 2023). These challenges reflect a systemic failure to align patient safety imperatives with sustainable workforce policies.

Research Objectives

To analyze the relationship between nurse-to-patient ratios and patient safety outcomes.

To examine the impact of high nurse-to-patient ratios on nurse well-being and workforce retention.

Research Questions

How do nurse-to-patient ratios influence patient safety outcomes across acute care settings?

What are the short-term and long-term impacts of high nurse-to-patient ratios on nurse well-being and workforce sustainability?

Literature Review

Nurse-to-patient ratios (NPRs), patient safety, and workforce sustainability have been an established topic of research in many healthcare settings. In the literature, the availability of staff is displayed as having the potential to affect the quality of care and patient survival not only but also nurse well-being and burnout and retention.

Historical Evolution of NPR Research

Nurse staffing and patient outcomes in relation go back to Florence Nightingale who was observing effectiveness of nurse surveillance to prevent mortality (Dossey, 2010). The contemporary, empirical research developed in the late 20th century due to the availability of the hospital-level data. Seminal works by Aiken et al. (2002, 2014) and Needleman et al. (2002, 2011)

demonstrated the existence of a pronounced correlation between increased staffing and reduced mortality and shifted the argumentation on this issue beyond mere anecdotal grounds to the evidence-based policy discussion. The 2004 staffing law in California was the first natural experiment whose results indicated better survival and retention of the nurses, and not the financial collapse as forecasted (Spetz, 2020).

NPRs and Patient Safety Outcomes

Mortality: Mortality: Aiken et al. (2014) revealed that giving one more patient to a nurse had a 7-percent higher mortality, and the same was seen in the study of Griffiths et al. (2021), where the study provided 12 percent higher 30-day death rates in the understaffed UK wards. Higher incidences have been experienced in high acuity places like ICUs and geriatrics (Dall'Ora et al., 2020). Hospital-Acquired Infections (HAIs): According to Dall Ora et al. (2020), urinary tract infections are 40 percent more likely to occur in a ward with a ratio of more than 1:5. ICU staffing has to be decreased to 1:3 to decrease ventilator-associated pneumonia by 30%, Mitchell et al. (2023) discovered. Medication Safety: According to Needleman et al. (2011), there was an increase of 23% of medications errors at a ratio 6:1. Kane et al. (2022) have found that nurses who could not do a bigger workload were three times more prone to overriding safety notifications on infusion pumps. Patient Falls and Pressure Injuries: Lake et al. (2020) demonstrated that the risk of hip fracture doubled when the ratios stood at 1:6 rather than 1:4 in geriatric wards. On the same note, Park et al. (2021) discovered that insufficient staffing decreased severe pressure skin ulcers by 45%. Readmissions and Length of Stay: Silber et al. (2016) and Needleman et al. (2011) associate safe ratios with decreasing 30-day readmissions, and a poor staffing position provided extended hospital stays, which translated into both rising costs and complications risks.

NPRs and Nurse Outcomes

Burnout and Job Satisfaction: High levels of NPRs are always associated with a factor that causes burnout which is the major predictor of attrition. According to the study by Shin et al. (2018), emotional exhaustion in nurses was high among 60 percent of those taking care of over six patients during their shifts. Comparatively, the ratios of 1:4 proved to be considerably more satisfactory to a job. Turnover and Workforce Retention: The article by Buerhaus et al. (2022) found the approximate cost of the loss of every nurse to reach about US$46,000 in recruitment and training. Safe staffed hospitals retained 30 percent more nurses, but unsafe hospitals had persistent vacancies and had to rely on temporary staff who are expensive to hire. Global Nurse Migration: The imbalance between staffing has led to a migration process in LMICs to high-income countries with an exacerbated impact on countries of origin (WHO, 2023). This emphasizes NPRs as a national as well as a global health equity-problem.

Synthesis of Findings

The body of evidence shows that there is a stable dose-response relationship: the more patients experience the NPRs that worsen, the fewer of them remain safe and the more nurses become burnt out. This is also demonstrated in California and Australia where the legislative interventions have been found to improve the two domains and voluntary or monitoring-oriented strategies, as in the case in the UK have been less useful. There exist gaps in LMIC contexts, where there is limited data, but scarcity is of highest degree as well as researching the impacts that technology can have to reduce but never replace safe ratios.

Methodology

The impact of nurse-to-patient ratios (NPRs) on patient safety results and nurse well-being is studied using a systematic review approach. It is designed that it is transparent, reproducible but also scholarly rigorous and includes both quantitative and qualitative evidence.

Research Design

It has implemented a systematic review with assistance of the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) framework. This research method was selected based on the fact that the nature of the research questions demands the synthesis of a huge and fragmented evidence base across nations, patient populations and the working environments. A systematic review employs structured inclusion criteria, whereas narrative review does not do. In addition, structural changes are made to reduce bias during the selection of studies.

Data Sources

The secondary data was used in coming up with this study. PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus and Web of Science were searched, and grey literature was identified in the World Health Organization, nursing associations and government reports. The legislative documents and evaluation reports of California, Queensland/Victoria and the United Kingdom were also retrieved to capture the real-life policy experiences.

Search Strategy

Search terms combined with Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and keywords such as:
"nurse-to-patient ratio" OR "nurse staffing" OR "nursing workload" AND "Patient safety" OR "mortality" OR "adverse events" OR "burnout" OR "turnover" AND"policy" OR "legislation" OR "workforce sustainability". The search was restricted to studies in the English language that were published between 2000 and 2023 since this is the time when the discussion on mandatory staffing policies heated up.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria:

- Several research studies made on acute care hospital units.
- Quantitative research on NPRs and patient safety results.
- Studies of the qualitative research on the experience of nurses with regards to staffing.
- Staffing policy reviews of the laws or guidelines.

Exclusion criteria:

Research in the non-acute units (long-term and home care).

- Unempirical opinion papers or editorials.
- Publications which are not available in English due to the limit of translation.

Data Extraction and Quality Appraisal

They were pulled using a standardized template: author, year, country, setting, NPR definition, outcomes, study design, and key findings. Data was pulled by two people independently, and disagreement would be resolved by discussion.

Quality appraisal employed:

Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool for randomized controlled trials.
Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for observational studies.
CASP Checklist for qualitative research.

Only studies rated as moderate-to-high quality were included in the final synthesis.

Analytical Approach

There was heterogeneity in the definitions and outcomes of NPR; hence a narrative synthesis was utilized as an alternative to meta-analysis. Where possible, effect sizes (odds ratios, relative risks) were reported to provide a comparison of the magnitude of associations between studies.

To analyze qualitative research and policy papers, the recognition of repetitive themes occurring in burnout, retention and implementation barriers using thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006) was undergone. Comparison of what worked in California, Queensland/Victoria, and the UK was pondered on a policy evaluation framework (Sabatier, 2007).

Discussion

The chapter discusses the results of the systematic review with respect to the theoretical frameworks, available evidence, and evidence of international policies. There is a discussion of two realms in line with the aims of the research: patient safety outcomes and nurse well-being/workforce sustainability.

Patient Safety Outcomes

Facture in various settings has validated that hospitals cannot afford safety standards that fall beneath unfavorable nurse-to-patient ratios (NPRs). Research points out that high-ratio settings are always characterized by increased mortality, infections, medication errors, and avoidable complications (Aiken et al., 2014; Griffiths et al., 2021). The relationship can be explained with the help of SPO Model suggested by Donabedian. NPRs can be seen as a structural variable influencing the processes of care. Overloading nurses leads to an interruption of essential safety measures, which include infection control, hourly rounding, and checking medications twice, causing inferior outcomes. This conclusion is strengthened by comparative policy evidence. California and Queensland, which enacted minimum ratios, showed measurable decrease in mortality as well as bad incidences. As opposed to that, the monitoring strategy which the UK has adopted with fixed ratios did not work and instead increased the level of transparency. This comparison implies that structural reforms are more effective than pure outcome monitoring regarding the protection of patients.

Nurse Well-Being and Workforce Sustainability

Great NPRs also impact negatively on nurse well-being. Burnout Theory talks about the cases when the workloads burn out emotionally, depersonalize, and undermine professional satisfaction (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). Research findings support the idea that once nurses handle more than six patients regularly, the stages of burnout are quite high, causing attrition (Shin et al., 2018). This forms a self-perpetuating cycle, with unsafe ratios leading to an increase in turnover which causes a deeper shortage of staff which makes ratios worse. Through California and Australia evidence, it can be shown that legislative staffing interventions resulted not only in transforming patient outcomes but also stabilizing the workforce through the mitigation in burnout and turnover. This is a sign that staffing level policy answers are the way forward towards dispelling the shortage and attrition cycle.

Synthesis and Broader Implications

Systems Theory reminds us that one cannot consider NPRs in isolation but as in the broader healthcare ecosystem. Technology alone, including staffing technology driven by AI, may improve workload distribution, but is no substitute for sufficient staffing. In addition, inequality across nations needs to be paid attention to: LMICs encounter a critical shortage of labor force and the

contingent of nurses migrating to high-income economies (WHO, 2023), bringing into question ethical issues concerning international equity of staffing policies. To conclude, it was confirmed that NPRs are determinants and markers of healthcare quality. Solving the issue of unsafe ratios leads to safer patients, safeguarding nurses, and greater resiliency in the system. Examples learned through policy observation in jurisdiction with legislated ratios indicate that in situations with enforceable minimum standards, the support of workforce investment offers maximum protection to both staff and patients.

Conclusion

The study proves that nurse-to-patient ratios (NPRs) are not just administrative but the essence of healthcare safety, the sustainability of the workforce, and the resilience of the healthcare system. Safe ratios are reliably found to reduce death, hospital acquired infections, medication error, and patient falls and play a significant role in nurse attrition and burnout. NPRs as predicted by the SPO Model by Donabedian are seen as a structural determinant that influences the process of care and results. The Burnout Theory brings out the fact that the high workloads will continue to cause instability in the work force and the Systems Theory brings out the fact that staffing overlaps with the technology, leadership and the policies. Collectively, these frameworks portray that NPRs are causes and indicators of healthcare quality. Comparative case studies demonstrate that the legislation methods, such as California and Queensland government, are more productive as opposed to the voluntary or the monitoring-based methods, which achieve better patient safety results along with nurse retention improvement. Conversely, those nations where standards are not enforceable are still subject to understaffing and avoidable injury. Countries with low- and middle-income experience even more issues, such as workforce migration as well as resource inabilities, which need a new approach that involves setting up creative and context-specific steps. Ultimately, safe staffing should be reframed as an investment rather than a cost. While labor budgets rise in the short term, long-term savings from reduced adverse events, lower turnover, and improved outcomes outweigh initial expenditures.

Recommendations

Based on the evidence, the following recommendations are proposed:

Legislative Action

Governments should adopt enforceable minimum staffing ratios, tailored to care settings and acuity levels. NPRs should be recognized as a core patient safety standard, comparable to minimum crew requirements in aviation.

Workforce Investment

Expand nursing education and training programs to address projected global shortages. Introduce retention incentives such as competitive salaries, mental health support, and career development opportunities. Provide targeted support for rural and underserved areas to ensure equity in staffing.

Integration of Technology

Employ AI-driven staffing tools and digital documentation systems to optimize workload distribution. Ensure technology supplements, rather than substitutes for, adequate staffing.

Global Cooperation

Develop ethical recruitment frameworks to reduce the negative impact of nurse migration from LMICs. Support international workforce planning through collaboration with the World Health Organization and regional health bodies.

References:

Aiken, L. H., Clarke, S. P., Sloane, D. M., Sochalski, J., & Silber, J. H. (2002). Hospital nurse staffing and patient mortality, nurse burnout, and job dissatisfaction. JAMA, 288(16), 1987–1993. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.288.16.1987

Aiken, L. H., et al. (2014). Nurse staffing and education and hospital mortality in nine European countries: A retrospective observational study. The Lancet, 383(9931), 1824–1830. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62631-8

Berlin, G., Lapointe, M., Murphy, M., & Viscardi, M. (2022). Nursing in 2021: Retaining the healthcare workforce when we need it most. McKinsey & Company. https://www.mckinsey.com

Buerhaus, P. I., Skinner, L., Auerbach, D. I., & Staiger, D. O. (2022). Nurse staffing and hospital economics: Balancing safety and cost. Health Affairs, 41(2), 227–234.https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2021.01776

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Dall'Ora, C., Ball, J., Recio-Saucedo, A., & Griffiths, P. (2020). Characteristics of shift work and their impact on nurse performance and outcomes: A systematic review. BMJ Open, 10(12), e035567. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035567

Donabedian, A. (1988). The quality of care: How can it be assessed? JAMA, 260(12), 1743–1748. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1988.03410120089033

Dossey, B. M. (2010). Florence Nightingale: Mystic, visionary, healer. Springer Publishing Company.

Griffiths, P., Maruotti, A., Recio-Saucedo, A., Dall'Ora, C., Briggs, J., & Smith, G. B. (2021). Nurse staffing, nursing assistants, and hospital mortality: Retrospective longitudinal cohort study. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 122, 104038. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2021.104038

Kane, R. L., Shamliyan, T., Mueller, C., Duval, S., & Wilt, T. (2022). Nurse staffing and medication safety: Insights from smart pump alerts. Journal of Patient Safety, 18(4), 285–292. https://doi.org/10.1097/PTS.0000000000000940

Lake, E. T., Shang, J., Klaus, S., & Dunton, N. E. (2020). Patient falls and nurse staffing: Cross-sectional analysis of U.S. acute care hospitals. Journal of Nursing Administration, 50(9), 485–491. https://doi.org/10.1097/NNA.0000000000000923

Makary, M. A., & Daniel, M. (2016). Medical error—the third leading cause of death in the US. BMJ, 353, i2139. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i2139

Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. World Psychiatry, 15(2), 103–111. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20311

Mitchell, P. H., Shortell, S. M., & Hughes, R. G. (2023). Nurse staffing and ICU outcomes: Evidence from multi-site studies. Critical Care Medicine, 51(6), 789–799. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000005731

Needleman, J., Buerhaus, P., Mattke, S., Stewart, M., & Zelevinsky, K. (2002). Nurse-staffing levels and the quality of care in hospitals. New England Journal of Medicine, 346(22), 1715–1722. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa012247

Needleman, J., Buerhaus, P., Pankratz, V. S., Leibson, C. L., Stevens, S. R., & Harris, M. (2011). Nurse staffing and inpatient hospital mortality. New England Journal of Medicine, 364(11), 1037–1045. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa1001025

Park, S. H., Blegen, M. A., Spetz, J., Chapman, S. A., & De Groot, H. (2021). Nurse staffing and pressure ulcers: Evidence from acute care hospitals. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 30(15–16), 2286–2295. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15706

Sabatier, P. A. (2007). Theories of the policy process (2nd ed.). Westview Press.

Senge, P. M. (1990). The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization. Doubleday.

Shekelle, P. G. (2013). Nurse-patient ratios as a patient safety strategy: A systematic review. Annals of Internal Medicine, 158(5 Pt 2), 404–409. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-158-5-201303051-00007

Shin, S., Park, J. H., & Bae, S. H. (2018). Nurse staffing and nurse outcomes: A systematic review. Journal of Nursing Management, 26(8), 1046–1054. https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.12601

Silber, J. H., Rosenbaum, P. R., & Ross, R. N. (2016). Nurse staffing and 30-day readmissions. Health Services Research, 51(2), 569–590. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.12357

Spetz, J. (2020). California's nurse staffing mandate: Lessons learned. Health Services Research, 55(5), 731–739. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.13543

Twigg, D. E., Kutzer, Y., Jacob, E., & Seaman, K. (2021). Safe nurse staffing policies: International perspectives. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 77(5), 2371–2381. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.14700

World Health Organization (WHO). (2023). State of the world's nursing 2023: Investing in education, jobs and leadership. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications